

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 04520 070301Z

71

ACTION L-03

INFO OCT-01 SCS-03 TRSE-00 ISO-00 DPW-01 ACDA-19 AEC-11

AF-10 ARA-16 CIAE-00 DODE-00 EA-11 EUR-25 PM-07 H-03

INR-10 IO-14 NASA-04 NEA-10 NSAE-00 NSC-10 OIC-04

SPC-03 PA-04 PRS-01 RSC-01 SCI-06 SS-20 USIA-15

SAJ-01 DRC-01 /214 W

----- 050795

R 070134Z NOV 73

FM USMISSION USUN NEWYORK

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 848

INFO AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY TEHRAN

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISSION NATO

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 4520

TEHRAN FOR RED CROSS DELEGATION - MR. ALDRICH

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, UK, SW, IR

SUBJ: DISARMAMENT: DRAFT RESOLUTION ON SPECIFIC

CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS

REF: STATE 218191

1. SUMMARY: POINTS SET FORTH REFTEL WERE CONVEYED TO SWEDISH DEL (AND OTHERS) NOV 6. SWedes UNENTHUSIASTIC BUT NOT NEGATIVE AND WILL STUDY US CHANGES CAREFULLY. END SUMMARY.

2. DEOFFS (NEIDLE AND NELSON) MET WITH AMB ECKERBERG (SWEDEN) AFTERNOON NOV 6 TO PRESENT AND EXPLAIN CHANGES SET FORTH PARA 3 REFTEL. ECKERBERG'S REACTIONS WERE AS CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 04520 070301Z

FOLLOWS:

(A) NO COMMENT ON REVISIONS IN PREAMBULAR PARAS 3 AND 4.

(B) RE CHANGE IN PARA 6, HE ASKED WHETHER US COULD ACCEPT WORD "WELCOMING" INSTEAD OF "CONSIDERING", IF WORD "ONE" WERE INSERTED PER US PROPOSAL. DELOFF SAID THAT COULD BE CONSIDERED IF IT TURNED OUT TO BE MAJOR POINT.

(C) WITH RESPECT TO CHANGE IN PREAMBULAR PARA 7 (PARA 3 (D) REFTEL), ECKERBERG NOTED THAT US HAD ACCEPTED "ULTIMATE OBJECTIVE" OF ELIMINATION OF ALL TYPES OF WEAPONS IN CONTEXT OF GENERAL AND COMPLETE DISARMAMENT AND THUS SHOULD HAVE NO DIFFICULTY WITH LANGUAGE IN QUESTION.

(D) ECKERBERG APPEARED TO ACCEPT REVISION OF PREAMBULAR PARA 9 IN LIGHT OF EXPLANATION BASED ON HAGUE REGULATION LANGUAGE.

(E) RE PREAMBULAR PARA 10, ECKERBERG SAID ADDITION OF WORDS "GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE" WOULD APPEAR TO CHANGE RULES OF DIPLOMATIC CONFERENCE BY REQUIRING CONSENSUS. DELOFFS EXPLAINED THAT POINT WAS NOT WHETHER RULES COULD BE ADOPTED AT DIPLOMATIC CONFERENCE BUT WHETHER THEY COULD IN FACT BE ACCEPTED AS OBLIGATIONS BY MOST MILITARILY IMPORTANT STATES. ECKERBERG RESISTED DELETION OF "NAPALM AND OTHER INCENDIARY WEAPONS" ON GROUNDS THAT THOSE PARTICULAR WEAPONS WERE MAIN SUBJECT OF RESOLUTION. DELOFF RESPONDED THAT, WHILE RES WAS OF COURSE BEING CONSIDERED UNDER THIS HEADING IN GA, ITS SCOPE HAD QUITE LOGICALLY BEEN EXTENDED BY SWEDES THEMSELVES TO THE MORE GENERAL QUESTION OF ALL SPECIFIC WEAPONS WHICH MIGHT HAVE INDISCRIMINATE EFFECTS OR CAUSE UNNECESSARY SUFFERING.

(F) ECKERBERG SAID CHANGES IN OPERATIVE PARA 1 (PARA 3(G) REFTEL) WOULD CREATE GREATEST PROBLEMS. HE SAID REFERENCE TO "CONTINUING" STUDY AND INCLUSION OF FINAL PHRASE BEGINNING "SO THAT INFORMED DECISIONS..." APPEAR TO SUGGEST THAT STUDY WOULD BE DRAGGED ON INDEFINITELY. DELOFFS ARGUED THAT, IN CONTEXT, STUDY WAS CLEARLY TO BE DIRECTED TOWARD "DEVELOPMENT OF POSSIBLE MEASURES" AND CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 04520 070301Z

THUS COULD NOT BE READ AS MEANING ANOTHER IN SERIES OF GENERAL TECHNICAL STUDIES OF THE SUBJECT. (DELOFFS DID NOT GIVE ECKERBERG ANY LANGUAGE OTHER THAN DEPARTMENT'S PREFERRED FORMULATION).

3. COMMENT: ECKERBERG MADE POINT OF NOTING DIFFICULTY OF GAINING AGREEMENT OF CO-SPONSORS TO CHANGES IN DRAFT RES AT THIS STAGE BUT SAID SWEDISH DEL WOULD, OF COURSE,

GIVE SERIOUS STUDY TO US CHANGES AS CONTAINED PARA 3  
REFTEL. HE ALSO INDICATED HE WOULD HAVE TO CONSULT WITH MRS.  
MYRDAL AND HANS BLIX (AS DEPT AWARE, LATTER IS IN TEHRAN  
FOR INTERNATIONAL RED CROSS CONFERENCE). WHILE ECKERBERG  
EXPRESSED SURPRISE AND SOME CONCERN AT NUMBER OF CHANGES  
BEING PROPOSED BY US, HE CLEARLY SAW POINT OF CHANGES AND  
WILL OBVIOUSLY GIVE THEM CAREFUL CONSIDERATION. HE HAD  
EVIDENTLY GOTTEN FAVORABLE REPORT FROM BLIX ON LATTER'S  
CONSULTATINS IN WASHINGTON AND APPEARED RELUCTANT TO PRE-  
JUDICE POSSIBILITY OF REAL MEETING OF MINDS ON HANDLING OF  
SPECIFIC WEAPONS ISSUE. END COMMENT.

4. UK, USSR, FRG, CANADA AND NETHERLANDS HAVE BEEN GENERALLY  
BRIEFED BY DELOFFS ON APPROACH WE TAKING WITH SWedes,  
AND HAVE RECEIVED COPIES OF US CHANGES. FRG AND UK RESPONSES  
TO GENERAL US APPROACH FAVORABLE. USSR (TOULINOV) SAID  
SOVS CONCERNED ABOUT PRECEDENT OF POSSIBLE MULTILATERAL  
ARMS CONTROL NEGOTIATIONS OUTSIDE CCD, BUT AGREED WITH US  
DELOFF THAT IF STUDY LIMITED TO DEVELOPMENT OF RANGE OF  
POSSIBILITIES, AND OPTION RETAINED TO INSIST THAT ANY  
NEGOTIATIONS ON DISARMAMENT (AS OPPOSED TO LAWS OF WAR)  
MEASURES TAKE PLACE IN CCD, WE BOTH IN BETTER POSITION  
THAN WOULD BE CASE IF SWEDISH RES PASSED AS IS AND  
DIPLOMATIC CONFERENCE ITSELF UNDERTOOK SUBSTANTIVE  
NEGOTIATIONS.

BENNETT

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ARMS CONTROL AGREEMENTS, ARMS CONTROL MEETINGS, MEETING DELEGATIONS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 07 NOV 1973  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** smithrj  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1973USUNN04520  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** USUN NEW YORK  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1973/newtext/t19731148/aaaabjck.tel  
**Line Count:** 141  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** ACTION L  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** STATE 218191  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** smithrj  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 28 NOV 2001  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09-Oct-2001 by shawdg>; RELEASED <28-Nov-2001 by smithrj>; APPROVED <28-Nov-2001 by smithrj>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** DISARMAMENT: DRAFT RESOLUTION ON SPECIFIC CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS  
**TAGS:** PARM, UK, SW, IR, UN, ICRC  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005